organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Mehmet Akkurt,^a Sema Öztürk,^a* Ayşe Erçağ,^b Mahmure Üstün Özgür^c and Frank W. Heinemann^d

^aDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey, ^bChemistry Department, Engineering Faculty, İstanbul University, 34850 İstanbul, Turkey, ^cChemistry Department, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Yıldız Technical University, 34210 Davutpaşa - İstanbul, Turkey, and ^dInstitut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Egerlandstrasse 1, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: ozturk@erciyes.edu.tr

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 294 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.049 wR factor = 0.128 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 \odot 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

(3*E*)-3-[(4-Butylphenyl)imino]-1,3dihydro-2*H*-indol-2-one

The title compound, $C_{18}H_{18}N_2O$, has a non-planar conformation. The indol and butylphenyl groups are connected by a C-N bond [1.433 (3) Å]. The crystal structure is stabilized by intermolecular N-H···N and C-H···O interactions. Received 22 April 2003 Accepted 30 April 2003 Online 9 May 2003

Comment

Isatin and its derivatives have been used as reagents in the dye industry. Schiff bases of isatin were reported to possess anti-HIV (Pandeya *et al.*, 2000), antifungal (Pandeya *et al.*, 1999), antibacterial (Sarangapani & Reddy, 1994; Varma & Nobles, 1975), antiviral (Singh *et al.*, 1983), antiprotozoal (Varma & Khan, 1977) and antihelminthic (Sarciron *et al.*, 1993) activities. The medical and biological implications of this category of ligands has already been proved (Popp & Pajouhesh, 1982).



The structure of the title compound, (I), is shown in Fig. 1. The C1-C2 bond length [1.529 (3) Å] is within the range 1.49–1.56 Å observed for related compounds found in the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen, 2002). The C2-N2-C9 angle is 119.6 (2)°. In the butyl group, the average C-C-C bond angle is 114.7 (3)° and this group shows an *E* form. The indole group is planar [maximum displacement is 0.004 (2) Å for C1] and forms a dihedral angle of 89.8 (1)° with the phenyl plane. These bond distances and angles agree with the values reported for (3*E*)-3-[(4-hexylphenyl)imino]-1*H*-indol-2(3*H*)one (Öztürk *et al.*, 2003).

The N-H···N and C-H···O hydrogen bonds form zigzag chains, parallel to the *b* axis (Fig. 2). The geometry of the hydrogen bonds is given in Table 2.

To determine the structural and electronic parameters of (I), quantum-chemical calculations were carried out using the *PM3* method (Stewart, 1985). It was found that the charges at atoms O1, N1 and N2 are 0.0382, 0.0609 and -0.2930 e^- , respectively. The final heat of formation of (I) is 14.98 kcal and its total energy is -3027.82 eV. The energies of the HOMO and LUMO levels have the values -9.0903 and -0.9315 eV, respectively. The calculated molecule dipole moment is 4.352 Debye.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.



Figure 2

A view of the intermolecular hydrogen-bond contacts, showing the zigzag chain which develops parallel to b. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z; (ii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z.$]

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the method of Öztürk et al. (2003). The orange product was recrystallized from methanol (m.p. 451-458 K).

Crystal data

$D_x = 1.232 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 171
reflections
$\theta = 6.0-26.0^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 294 (2) K
Slab, orange
$0.40 \times 0.31 \times 0.17 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.072$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = -19 \rightarrow 19$
9152 measured reflections	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
2938 independent reflections	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
1851 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement Refinement on F^2

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0558P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	+ 0.2681P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.128$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2938 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
191 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1

ł

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C1	1.214 (2)	C1-C2	1.531 (3)
N1-C1	1.356 (3) C15-C16		1.524 (3)
N1-C4	1.413 (2)	1.413 (2) C16-C17	
N2-C2	1.272 (2)	C17-C18	1.504 (4)
N2-C9	1.433 (2)		
C1-N1-C4	111.73 (16)	C5-C4-N1	128.15 (18)
C2-N2-C9	119.60 (16)	C3-C4-N1	109.73 (17)
O1-C1-N1	128.03 (18)	C10-C9-N2	118.27 (18)
O1-C1-C2	125.99 (19)	C14-C9-N2	121.99 (19)
N1-C1-C2	105.91 (16)	C17-C16-C15	114.9 (2)
N2-C2-C3	135.31 (17)	C16-C17-C18	114.5 (2)
N2-C2-C1	118.87 (17)		

Table 2 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N1-H1···N2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86	2.24	3.062 (2)	159
$C5-H5\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.93	2.56	3.254 (3)	132

Symmetry code: (iii) $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z$.

The H atoms of C-H and N-H groups were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.96 Å and N-H = 0.86 Å) and were allowed to refine as riding models, with U_{iso} set equal to $1.2U_{eq}$ (1.5 for CH₃) of the carrier atoms.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1999); cell refinement: EVALCCD (Duisenberg, 1998); data reduction: EVALCCD; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997; Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1998). PhD thesis, University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Nonius (1999). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Öztürk, S., Akkurt, M., Özgür, M. Ü., Erçağ, A. & Heinemann, F. W. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, 0569-0571.

- Pandeya, S. N., Sriram, D., Nath, G. & De Clercq, E. (2000). Arzneim. Forschung. (Drug Res.), 50, 55–59.
- Pandeya, S. N., Yogeeswari, P., Sriram, D., De Clercq, E., Pannecouque, C. & Witvrouw, M. (1999). *Chemotherapy*, 45, 192–196.
- Popp, F. D. & Pajouhesh, H. (1982). J. Pharm. Sci. 17, 1052-1055.
- Sarangapani, M. & Reddy, V. M. (1994). Indian J. Pharm. Sci. 56, 174-177.
- Sarciron, S. E., Audin, P., Delebre, I., Gabrion, C., Petavy, A. F. & Paris, J. (1993). J. Pharm. Sci. 82, 605–609.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Singh, S. P., Shukla, S. K. & Awasthi, L. P. (1983). Curr. Sci. 52, 766-769.
- Stewart, J. J. P. (1985). MOPAC. QCPE Program 445. Version 6.0. Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA.
- Varma, R. S. & Khan, I. A. (1977). Pol. J. Pharmacol. Pharm. 29, 549–594. Varma, R. S. & Nobles, W. L. (1975). J. Pharm. Sci. 64, 881–882.